

## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/368,3	78 01/14	/95 BOVA		D	94247
Г				٦	VENKATÇ	MINER
•			15 <b>M</b> 1/0419			
	REESE TA					
	RENNER K AND WEB		JE BOBAK TAYLOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	··	TIONAL BANI	SIXTEENTH FLOOR		1502	10
	AKRON OH	44308-1450	5		DATE MAILED:	04/19/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.

08/368,378

J. VENKAT

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

**BOVA** 

1502



## **Advisory Action**

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) 💢 expires4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
<ul> <li>expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Acis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the da rejection.</li> </ul>	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	e purposes of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	(or within any
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 27, 1996</u> has been considered with the following to the application in condition for allowance:	wing effect,
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
🛛 will not be entered because:	
☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or si issues for appeal.	implifying the
oxtimes they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	ns.
NOTE:	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
<ul> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>□ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because:</li> <li><u>The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed . A careful examination of the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed . A careful examination.</u></li> </ul>	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because:	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the the (see other)
<ul> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>□ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.</li> <li>☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because:         The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examina parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as "twice daily". It is the position of the the Examiner in the final rejection.     </li> </ul>	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by
<ul> <li>□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>□ Newly proposed or amended claims</li></ul>	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by
□ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): □ Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. □ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application allowance because: □ The critical date being urged in the declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.131 is not agreed. A careful examina parent application s.no.07/337460 teaches the dosage range as " twice daily ". It is the position of the late of the Examiner in the final rejection. □ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were the Examiner in the final rejection. □ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any) Claims allowed: □ Claims objected to: □ Claims rejected: 1-9	submitted in a ation in condition ation of the he (see other) newly raised by